

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

United States of America)

v.)

William Martin)

Case No: 96-040E

USM No: 10667-068

Date of Previous Judgment: 12/03/1997)

Michael J. Novara

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 151 months **is reduced to** time served.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)Previous Offense Level: 31Amended Offense Level: 29Criminal History Category: IIICriminal History Category: IIIPrevious Guideline Range: 135 to 168 monthsAmended Guideline Range: 108 to 135 months**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**☒ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

☐ Other (explain):**III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated _____ shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: _____

Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Printed name and title